2004

MAR 2 0 2007

Application Serial No. 10/700,096
Reply to Office Action of January 11, 2007

PATENT Docket: CU-3423

<u>REMARKS</u>

Upon entry of this amendment, claim 3 will be cancelled and claims 1 and 2 will remain pending.

In the office action mailed January 11, 2007, claim 2 was objected to because of a typographical error in line 5. Claims 1 and 2 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over the Applicant's admitted prior art (AAPA) in view of U.S. pre-grant publication number 2001/0043203 to linuma. Claim 3 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over the AAPA in view of linum and U.S. patent number 6,778,159 to Yamazaki (*Yamazaki*).

The claim rejections were made final.

In response to the Examiner's rejections, claim 2 has been amended to correct a typographical error. Claim 1 has been amended to avoid the cited prior art by incorporating the substance of dependent claim 3 but to also recite that the conversion board device outputs a true PWM signal, i.e., a constant-amplitude pulse width modulation (PWM), signal to the LCD module. In other words, claim 1 now requires that the amplitude of the width-varying signal from the conversion board device <u>not vary</u>.

In rejecting claim 3, the Examiner relied on Yamazaki as teaching a conversion board device that generates a PWM signal for adjusting a common voltage of an LCD device. Yamazaki arguably discloses a "conversion board device" as recited in claim 1, however, the PWM signal disclosed and advocated in Yamazaki also varies in its amplitude as well as its width. In other words, Yamazaki disparages and teaches away from a conventional PWM signal. Yamazaki teaches and advocates a signal that is both amplitude-modulated and pulse-width modulated.

In column 3, lines 59-63, Yamazaki states that its "present invention comprises applying...pulses of a plurality of pulse heights and a plurality of pulse widths...." (Emphasis added.) In column 4, lines 5-8, Yamazaki states that varying both the width and the height of pulses allows for different voltage levels to be represented. In column 4, lines 18-29, Yamazaki describes how a 64-step voltage gradation is obtained by varying pulses by width and amplitude. In column 6, lines 3-19, Yamazaki describes again the advantages of varying both width and magnitude of pulses in order to obtain

Application Serial No. 10/700,096 Reply to Office Action of January 11, 2007

PATENT Docket: CU-3423

greater resolution of voltage levels to be used in an LCD device. Claim 1 of Yamazaki actually recites the use of different pulse heights and pulse widths, which vary according to a desired gradation of display intensity at a pixel.

No new matter has been added by the foregoing amendment.

Newton's Telecommunications Dictionary, 18th Edition, defines pulse-width modulation as a method of modulating a signal in which an input signal's D.C. level controls the pulse width of digital output pulses. The Microsoft Computer Dictionary. Fourth edition, defines pulse width modulation as a method of encoding information in a signal by varying the duration of pulses. Even Internet definitions of pulse-width modulation say that PWM is a time-varying pulse. No one of ordinary skill in the art would ever consider a PWM signal as recited in the applicant's disclosure as being anything other than a constant-amplitude, pulse-width varying signal. No new matter has been added.

Since Yamazaki requires and teaches away from the use of a true PWM signal having time-varying but fixed amplitude pulses, the amendment to claim 1 avoids the Yamazaki reference and makes claim 1 patentably allowable over the art cited by the Examiner. Since claim 1 is allowable, claim 2 is allowable as well. Reconsideration of the claims is respectfully requested.

Sincerely,

Dated: March 20, 2007

Krause, Reg. No. 32

Ladas & Parry

224 South Michigan Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60604

(312) 427-1300